arXiv:1609.02467v1 [hep-th] 8 Sep 2016

# On aether terms in a space-time with a compact extra dimension

T. Mariz,[1] J. R. Nascimento,[2,*] and A. Yu. Petrov[2,†]

[1] *Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal de Alagoas, 57072-270, Maceió, Alagoas, Brazil*[‡]

[2] *Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal da Paraíba Caixa Postal 5008, 58051-970, João Pessoa, Paraíba, Brazil*

## Abstract

In this paper, for the CPT-even and CPT-odd extensions of the QED, we explicitly obtain the aether-like corrections for the electromagnetic field in the case when the space-time involves an extra compact spatial dimension besides of usual four dimensions. Our methodology is based on an explicit summation over the Kaluza-Klein tower of fields which is no more difficult than the finite-temperature calculations. The quantum corrections turn out to be large as the extra dimension is small. We demonstrate that in the CPT-even case, the extra dimension manifests itself through a new scalar particle.

*Electronic address: jroberto@fisica.ufpb.br

†Electronic address: petrov@fisica.ufpb.br

‡Electronic address: tmariz@fis.ufal.br

The idea of compact extra dimensions has a long history. Originally, it has been proposed as a Kaluza-Klein theory [1] aimed to unify gravity and electromagnetism. Being abandoned for a long time, this idea has been revitalized with development of string theory known to be well defined in space-times with dimensions much larger than four (for a review on applications of the Kaluza-Klein concept in modern contexts, including cosmology and ten- and eleven-dimensional supergravity, see [2]). An important step in development of this concept has been made in [3] where it has been immersed into the Lorentz-breaking context (another approach to this problem has been discussed in [4]). Following [3], if the Lorentz-breaking vectors are directed along the compact extra dimensions, the masses of the particles will be modified, probably affecting the hierarchy problem (some important results in studies of the impact of the compact extra dimension for quantum corrections were obtained in [5], where the two-point function of the massless QED, although without explicit Lorentz-breaking terms in the action, has been calculated in a five-dimensional space-time, and in [6, 7], where the regularization and renormalization issues were considered in a similar situation, but for massive fermions). Therefore, the natural question is how the known Lorentz-breaking results will behave when one (extra) dimension is being compactified, and how the presence of the compact extra dimension will affect these known results? In principle, we can consider a situation more generic than that one discussed in [3], and suggest that the Lorentz-breaking vectors are arbitrary, but one of spatial dimensions is compact.

Up to now, there are a few examples of studies of Lorentz-breaking theories in a five-dimensional space-time (besides of the papers [3, 4], one can also mention [8, 9]). One of these examples is presented in the paper [10], by some of us, where the aether term has been generated from a nonminimal (magnetic) CPT-odd coupling of the gauge field. In this paper, we generalize this result, as well as the result of [9] (where the Lorentz symmetry breaking is introduced through the additive CPT-even term), for the case when one of the spatial dimensions is compact. The methodology of calculations is apparently very similar to the finite-temperature field theory, where we also assume the projections of momenta along a certain dimension to be discrete. Thus, we can use all well-developed machinery of the finite-temperature field theory.

To start the calculation, we write down the theory with magnetic coupling in five dimensions considered in [10]:

$$S = \int d^5x \bar{\psi}(i\partial\!\!\!/ - m - g\epsilon^{mnpqr} b_m F_{np} \sigma_{qr})\psi. \tag{1}$$

For definiteness, we consider $b_m = (b_\mu, b_5)$, with $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$, and so on. The lower quantum contribution in this theory, that is, the aether-like correction, depicted in Fig. 1, is given by

$$\Sigma_1 = \frac{g^2}{2}\epsilon^{mnpqr} b_m F_{np} \epsilon^{m'n'p'q'r'} b_{m'} F_{n'p'} \mathrm{tr} \int \frac{d^5k}{(2\pi)^5} \sigma_{qr} S(k) \sigma_{q'r'} S(k), \tag{2}$$

where

$$S(k) = i(\not{k} - m)^{-1}, \tag{3}$$

with $\not{k} = k_m \gamma^m$, is the usual propagator of the spinor field.

FIG. 1: First-order Lorentz-breaking contributions.

Taking into account only the relevant (that is, even with respect to $k$) terms, we find that this correction is

$$\Sigma_1 = \Sigma_2^{(1)} + \Sigma_2^{(2)}, \tag{4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_1^{(1)} &= -\frac{m^2 g^2}{2}\epsilon^{mnpqr} b_m F_{np} \epsilon^{m'n'p'q'r'} b_{m'} F_{n'p'} \mathrm{tr}(\sigma_{qr}\sigma_{q'r'}) \int \frac{d^5k}{(2\pi)^5} \frac{1}{(k^2 - m^2)^2}, \\
\Sigma_1^{(2)} &= -\frac{g^2}{2}\epsilon^{mnpqr} b_m F_{np} \epsilon^{m'n'p'q'r'} b_{m'} F_{n'p'} \mathrm{tr}(\gamma_l \sigma_{qr} \gamma_{l'} \sigma_{q'r'}) \int \frac{d^5k}{(2\pi)^5} \frac{k^l k^{l'}}{(k^2 - m^2)^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

We can rewrite these expressions as

$$\Sigma_1^{(1)} = -\frac{m^2 g^2}{2}\epsilon^{mnpqr} b_m F_{np} \epsilon^{m'n'p'q'r'} b_{m'} F_{n'p'} \mathrm{tr}(\sigma_{qr}\sigma_{q'r'}) I_1, \tag{6}$$

$$\Sigma_1^{(2)} = -\frac{g^2}{2}\epsilon^{mnpqr} b_m F_{np} \epsilon^{m'n'p'q'r'} b_{m'} F_{n'p'} \mathrm{tr}(\gamma_l \sigma_{qr} \gamma_{l'} \sigma_{q'r'}) I_2^{ll'}, \tag{7}$$

with

$$I_1 = \int \frac{d^5k}{(2\pi)^5} \frac{1}{(k^2 - m^2)^2}, \tag{8}$$

$$I_2^{ll'} = \int \frac{d^5k}{(2\pi)^5} \frac{k^l k^{l'}}{(k^2 - m^2)^2}. \tag{9}$$

Now, let us suggest that our fifth extra dimension is compact, of the size $L$. It means that actually we can proceed with Matsubara formalism, where the momentum component corresponding to the extra dimension is $k^5 = \frac{2\pi n}{L} = -k_5$, with $n$ being a integer, to be compatible with the periodicity of this dimension (and not semi-integer). Afterwards, carrying out the Wick rotation with respect to $k_0$, i.e., by considering $k_0 = ik_{0E}$, and introducing $\bar{k}^2 = k_{0E}^2 + k_1^2 + k_2^2 + k_3^2$, we write

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \frac{i}{L}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int \frac{d^4\bar{k}}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{(\bar{k}^2 + k_5^2 + m^2)^2}, \\
I_2^{ll'} &= \frac{i}{L}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int \frac{d^4\bar{k}}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{\frac{\bar{k}^2}{4}(\delta^{ll'} - t^l t^{l'}) + k_5^2 t^l t^{l'}}{(\bar{k}^2 + k_5^2 + m^2)^2},
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where we have considered the decomposition $k^l = \bar{k}^l + k^5 t^l$, with $\bar{k}^l = (k^\lambda, 0)$ and $t^l = (0,0,0,0,1)$, and the substitution $\bar{k}^l \bar{k}^{l'} \to \frac{\bar{k}^2}{4}(\delta^{ll'} - t^l t^{l'})$.

In order to calculate the above sum-integrals, initially, in (10) we evaluate the integrals in $d$ dimensions, so that $d^4\bar{k}/(2\pi)^4$ goes to $\mu^{4-d} d^d\bar{k}/(2\pi)^d$. The result is

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \frac{i}{L}\frac{\mu^{4-d}}{(4\pi)^{d/2}}\Gamma\left(2-\frac{d}{2}\right)\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(k_5^2+m^2)^{2-d/2}}, \\ I_2^{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{i}{2L}\frac{\mu^{4-d}}{(4\pi)^{d/2}}\Gamma\left(1-\frac{d}{2}\right)\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\delta^{\alpha\beta}}{(k_5^2+m^2)^{1-d/2}}, \\ I_2^{55} &= \frac{i}{L}\frac{\mu^{4-d}}{(4\pi)^{d/2}}\Gamma\left(2-\frac{d}{2}\right)\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{k_5^2}{(k_5^2+m^2)^{2-d/2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Finally, to calculate the the sum over the Matsubara frequencies, we use the formula [11]

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}[(n+\eta)^2+\xi^2]^{-\lambda} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\lambda-1/2)}{\Gamma(\lambda)(\xi^2)^{\lambda-1/2}} + 4\sin(\pi\lambda) f_\lambda(\eta,\xi), \tag{12}$$

with

$$f_\lambda(\eta,\xi) = \int_{|\xi|}^{\infty}\frac{dz}{(z^2-\xi^2)^\lambda} Re\left(\frac{1}{e^{2\pi(z+i\eta)}-1}\right). \tag{13}$$

The above expression is valid only for $\lambda < 1$, aside from the poles at $\lambda = 1/2, -1/2, -3/2, \cdots$. However, this restriction can be circumvented when we use the recurrence relation

$$f_\lambda(\eta,\xi) = -\frac{1}{2\xi^2}\frac{2\lambda-3}{\lambda-1} f_{\lambda-1}(\eta,\xi) - \frac{1}{4\xi^2}\frac{1}{(\lambda-2)(\lambda-1)}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\eta^2} f_{\lambda-2}(\eta,\xi). \tag{14}$$

Note that, in our case, one has $\lambda = 1 - d/2$ and $\lambda = 2 - d/2$, so that (12) can be used directly. Taking all together, with $\eta = 0$ and $\xi = \frac{mL}{2\pi}$, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= -\frac{im}{16\pi^2} + \frac{i}{8\pi L}\int_{|\xi|}^{\infty} dz\ (\coth(\pi z) - 1), \\ I_2^{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{im^3}{48\pi^2}\delta^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{i\pi}{4L^3}\delta^{\alpha\beta}\int_{|\xi|}^{\infty} dz\ (z^2-\xi^2)(\coth(\pi z) - 1), \\ I_2^{55} &= \frac{im^3}{24\pi^2} - \frac{i\pi}{4L^3}\int_{|\xi|}^{\infty} dz\ (z^2+\xi^2)(\coth(\pi z) - 1), \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where we have taken into account an expansion around $d = 4$. We note however that the finiteness of these integrals is a consequence of use of the dimensional regularization (which gives the finite result for Euler gamma function of negative fractional arguments in (12)). Had we used other regularization, these contributions could in principle display pole parts as well, however, the dimensional regularization is the most appropriate one for calculations within the compactification process.

Thus, by using the first solution of (15) in $\Sigma_1^{(1)}$, after the inverse Wick rotation, we obtain

$$\Sigma_1^{(1)} = \left[\frac{m^3g^2}{\pi^2} - \frac{8\pi g^2}{L^3}\int_{|\xi|}^{\infty} dz\ \xi^2(\coth(\pi z)-1)\right](b^2F_{mn}F^{mn} - 2b_nF^{mn}b^lF_{ml}), \quad (16)$$

which matches the zero temperature result found in [10] (where the Lorentz invariant part proportional to $b^2$ was omitted) for this sector of the contribution.

The contribution $\Sigma_1^{(2)}$ would have a much more complicated form since $I_2^{ll'}$ is no more proportional to $\delta^{ll'}$. Explicitly, it looks like

$$\Sigma_1^{(2)} = -\frac{g^2}{2}\epsilon^{mnpqr}b_mF_{np}\epsilon^{m'n'p'q'r'}b_{m'}F_{n'p'}\left[\mathrm{tr}(\gamma_\alpha\sigma_{qr}\gamma_\beta\sigma_{q'r'})I_2^{\alpha\beta} + \mathrm{tr}(\gamma_4\sigma_{qr}\gamma_4\sigma_{q'r'})I_2^{55}\right]. \quad (17)$$

Since $I_2^{\alpha\beta}$ and $I_2^{55}$ are not mutually proportional, we see that in the case of finite $L$, their explicit $L$-dependent contributions will be essentially different. Then, the result takes the form:

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_1^{(2)} = &-\left[\frac{m^3g^2}{3\pi^2} - \frac{8\pi g^2}{L^3}\int_{|\xi|}^{\infty} dz\ (\xi^2-2z^2)(\coth(\pi z)-1)\right](b^2F_{mn}F^{mn} - 2b_nF^{mn}b^lF_{ml})\\ &+\left[\frac{8\pi g^2}{L^3}\int_{|\xi|}^{\infty} dz\ (\xi^2-3z^2)(\coth(\pi z)-1)\right](b_5^2F_{mn}F^{mn} + 2b_mF^{m5}b^nF_{n5}\\ &-2b^2F_{m5}F^{m5} - 4b_nF^{mn}b_5F_{m5}). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

The final result is a sum of these two contributions, $\Sigma_1 = \Sigma_1^{(1)} + \Sigma_1^{(2)}$, given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_1 = &\left[\frac{2m^3}{3\pi^2} - \frac{16\pi F_1(\xi)}{L^3}\right]g^2(b^2F_{mn}F^{mn} - 2b_nF^{mn}b^lF_{ml})\\ &+\frac{8\pi G_1(\xi)}{L^3}g^2(b_5^2F_{mn}F^{mn} + 2b_mF^{m5}b^nF_{n5} - 2b^2F_{m5}F^{m5} - 4b_nF^{mn}b_5F_{m5}),\end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}F_1(\xi) &= \int_{|\xi|}^{\infty} dz\ z^2(\coth(\pi z)-1),\\ G_1(\xi) &= \int_{|\xi|}^{\infty} dz\ (\xi^2-3z^2)(\coth(\pi z)-1).\end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

It is easy to verify that in the $L\to\infty$ (or $\xi\to\infty$) limit, this result matches the expression obtained in [10] (where the terms proportional to $b^2$ were not discussed). At the same time, for the small $L$, the $L\to 0$ impact begins to dominate, so that the integrals (20) assume their asymptotic form, given by

$$\begin{aligned}F_1(\xi\to 0) &= \int_0^{\infty} dz\ z^2(\coth(\pi z)-1) = \frac{\zeta(3)}{2\pi^3},\\ G_1(\xi\to 0) &= -3\int_0^{\infty} dz\ z^2(\coth(\pi z)-1) = -\frac{3\zeta(3)}{2\pi^3}.\end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

It is interesting to consider the following example. Let us suggest that the Lorentz-breaking vector $b_m$ is directed along the extra dimension, looking like $b_m = (0, 0, 0, 0, b_5)$, so that $b^2 = -b_5^2$. In this case, we have

$$\Sigma_1 \;=\; -\left[\frac{2m^3}{3\pi^2} - \frac{16\pi F_1(\xi)}{L^3} - \frac{8\pi G_1(\xi)}{L^3}\right] g^2 b_5^2 F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}. \tag{22}$$

We note that in this case the fifth dimension manifests itself only through the modification of quantum corrections for the usual four-dimensional photons, i.e., there is no $F_{\alpha 5}$ components in this contribution and hence no new particles corresponding to the extra dimension. This situation is phenomenologically more advantageous since it explains the absence of new particles originated from extra dimensions, and, at the same time, allows to have significant modifications of the Maxwell term. Actually, this term suffers a finite renormalization, with a coefficient dependent on the size of the extra dimension. The contributions involving $F_1(\xi)$ and $G_1(\xi)$ are the corrections which are absent in the case of the infinite-size extra dimension. On the other hand, we found that its finiteness would correct the aether term, as long as the size of the extra dimension is smaller.

Certainly, one can ask about the theory involving the minimal coupling as well, whose action is

$$S = \int d^5x\bar{\psi}(i\partial\!\!\!/ - m - eA\!\!\!/ - g\epsilon^{mnpqr}b_m F_{np}\sigma_{qr})\psi. \tag{23}$$

In this case (the usual contribution to the two-point function involving two minimal vertices, and thus independent of the Lorentz-breaking vector $b_m$, has been studied in [5]) the mixed contribution involving both minimal and nonminimal vertices, will be

$$\Sigma_2 = 4meg\epsilon^{abcde}b_a F_{bc}F_{de}\int \frac{d^5k}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{1}{(k^2-m^2)^2}. \tag{24}$$

However, this expression evidently represents itself as a total derivative ($\epsilon^{abcde}b_a F_{bc}F_{de}$ is a perfect analogue of the $4D$ topological term $\epsilon^{bcde}F_{bc}F_{de}$), independently on whether the extra dimension is compact or not. So, it is equal to zero. This is different from the four-dimensional case, where the mixed contribution yields the Carroll-Field-Jackiw term [12].

The next step would consist in introducing the CPT-even Lorentz-breaking term into the action, as it has been done in [9]. For this, we must consider the action given by

$$S = \int d^5x\bar{\psi}(i\partial\!\!\!/ - m + iu^a u^b\gamma_a\partial_b - eA\!\!\!/ - eu^a u^b\gamma_a A_b)\psi. \tag{25}$$

Thus, we have

$$\Sigma_3 = \frac{1}{2}A_m\Pi^{mn}A_n, \tag{26}$$

where $\Pi^{mn}=\Pi_1^{mn}+\Pi_2^{mn}+\Pi_3^{mn}+\Pi_4^{mn}$, with

$$\Pi_1^{mn}=-ie^2\mathrm{tr}\int\frac{d^5p}{(2\pi)^5}S(p)u^au^b\gamma_ap_bS(p)\gamma^mS(p-i\partial)\gamma^n, \tag{27}$$

$$\Pi_2^{mn}=-ie^2\mathrm{tr}\int\frac{d^5p}{(2\pi)^5}S(p)\gamma^mS(p-i\partial)u^au^b\gamma_a(p-i\partial)_bS(p-i\partial)\gamma^n, \tag{28}$$

$$\Pi_3^{mn}=ie^2\mathrm{tr}\int\frac{d^5p}{(2\pi)^5}S(p)u^mu^a\gamma_aS(p-i\partial)\gamma^n, \tag{29}$$

$$\Pi_4^{mn}=ie^2\mathrm{tr}\int\frac{d^5p}{(2\pi)^5}S(p)\gamma^mS(p-i\partial)u^nu^a\gamma_a. \tag{30}$$

These contributions are depicted in Fig. 2.




FIG. 2: First-order Lorentz-breaking contributions.

Supposing that the extra dimension again is compact, proceeding with the Matsubara formalism, we can present our result as a sum $\Sigma_3=\Sigma_3^{(1)}+\Sigma_3^{(2)}+\Sigma_3^{(3)}$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_3^{(1)} &= -\left[\frac{m}{24\pi^2}-\frac{F_3(\xi)}{12\pi L}\right]e^2A_m\left[\left(2(u\cdot\partial)^2-u^2\partial^2\right)g^{mn}+u^m\left(2\partial^2u^n-2\partial^nu\cdot\partial\right)\right.\\
&\quad\left.+\partial^m\left(u^2\partial^n-2u^nu\cdot\partial\right)\right]A_n,\\
\Sigma_3^{(2)} &= \frac{\pi G_3(\xi)}{24L}e^2A_m\left[t^m\left(t^n\left(2(u\cdot\partial)^2-u^2\partial^2\right)+(\partial\cdot t)\left(u^2\partial^n-2u^nu\cdot\partial\right)\right)\right.\\
&\quad+t^n(\partial\cdot t)\left(u^2\partial^m-2u^mu\cdot\partial\right)+(\partial\cdot t)^2\left(2u^mu^n-u^2g^{mn}\right)\\
&\quad\left.+2(u\cdot t)^2\left(\partial^2g^{mn}-\partial^m\partial^n\right)\right]A_n,\\
\Sigma_3^{(3)} &= -\frac{\pi H_3(\xi)}{24L}e^2A_m(u\cdot t)^2\left(g^{mn}(\partial\cdot t)^2+\partial^2t^mt^n-(\partial\cdot t)\left(\partial^nt^m+\partial^mt^n\right)\right)A_n,
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
F_3(\xi) &= \int_{|\xi|}^{\infty}dz\ (\coth(\pi z)-1),\\
G_3(\xi) &= \int_{|\xi|}^{\infty}dz\ (\xi^2-z^2)\coth(\pi z)\mathrm{csch}^2(\pi z),\\
H_3(\xi) &= \int_{|\xi|}^{\infty}dz\ (\xi^2-3z^2)\coth(\pi z)\mathrm{csch}^2(\pi z).
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Here, as we have mentioned above, the $t^m$ is a fictitious unit vector along the extra dimension. It is easy to see that all these three contributions are gauge invariant and can be presented as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_3^{(1)} &= -\left[\frac{m}{48\pi^2} - \frac{F_3(\xi)}{24\pi L}\right] e^2 (u^2 F_{mn}F^{mn} - 4u_m F^{mn}u^l F_{ln}), \\
\Sigma_3^{(2)} &= -\frac{\pi G_3(\xi)}{24L} e^2 \left(u_5^2 F_{mn}F^{mn} - u^2 F_{m5}F^{m5} + 2u_m F^{m5}u^n F_{n5}\right) \\
\Sigma_3^{(3)} &= \frac{\pi H_3(\xi)}{24L} e^2 u_5^2 F_{m5}F^{m5}.
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

We note that only the first of them does not vanish if the extra dimension becomes large. In this limit, i.e., $L \to \infty$ (or $\xi \to \infty$), the result found in [9] are reproduced. On the other hand, in the $L \to 0$ (or $\xi \to 0$) limit, the the integrals (32) take the asymptotic form

$$\begin{aligned}
F_3(\xi \to 0) &= \int_0^\infty dz\ (\coth(\pi z) - 1) = 71.1384, \\
G_3(\xi \to 0) &= -\int_0^\infty dz\ z^2 \coth(\pi z)\mathrm{csch}^2(\pi z) = -7.2562, \\
H_3(\xi \to 0) &= -3\int_0^\infty dz\ z^2 \coth(\pi z)\mathrm{csch}^2(\pi z) = -21.7686.
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Now, if we suggest that the Lorentz-breaking vector $u_m$ is directed along the extra dimension as well, i.e., $u_m = (0, 0, 0, 0, u_5)$, our result would take the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_3 &= \left[\frac{m}{48\pi^2} - \frac{F_3(\xi)}{24\pi L} - \frac{\pi G_3(\xi)}{24L}\right] e^2 u_5^2 F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} \\
&- \left[\frac{m}{24\pi^2} - \frac{F_3(\xi)}{12\pi L} + \frac{\pi G_3(\xi)}{8L} - \frac{\pi H_3(\xi)}{24L}\right] e^2 u_5^2 F_{\mu 5}F^{\mu 5}.
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

We see that in this case we have contributions both of usual four-dimensional photons and of new particles arising due to the presence of the extra dimension. In principle, we can suggest that the fields do not vary essentially along the extra dimension, since it is very small, so that $\partial_5 A_\mu = 0$ and $F^{5\alpha}F_{5\alpha} \simeq \partial_\alpha\varphi\partial^\alpha\varphi$, where $\varphi = A_5$. In this case, we generate the new scalar field $\varphi$ and the kinetic term for it. Indeed, in these expressions we can substitute $F^{5\alpha}F_{5\alpha} \simeq \partial_\alpha\varphi\partial^\alpha\varphi$ to get the contribution of these scalars.

In this work, we calculated the aether-like terms in a five-dimensional gauge theory with magnetic and CPT-even couplings in the case when the extra dimension is compact, generalizing thus the results of [9, 10]. Actually, we performed summation over the Kaluza-Klein tower of fields using the technique developed in [11] for the finite temperature case. We found that, beside of the usual aether term and the finite renormalization of the Maxwell term, we have some new terms which can be treated as certain five-dimensional generalizations of the aether-like terms $\kappa^{mnpq}F_{mn}F_{pq}$, where in four dimensions are treated

as an important ingredient of the Lorentz-breaking extension of the standard model [13]. These new terms become to dominate in the limit where the extra dimension is very small. This allows us to suggest that there will be essential modifications of quantum corrections if our space indeed has a small extra spatial dimension. Moreover, in the CPT-even coupling case, we have the extra particles described by $A_5$ which can be treated as new scalars, and we succeeded to generate the kinetic term for these particles. To close the paper, we emphasize that the presence of the compact extra dimension will essentially modify the observed values of fields and couplings, as long as the extra dimension is smaller, which probably could be a crucial fact for the hierarchy problem. In particular, in this case the impact of Lorentz-breaking couplings could become significant.

**Acknowledgments.** The authors are grateful to K. Bakke for important discussions. This work was partially supported by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq). The work by A. Yu. P. has been supported by the CNPq project No. 303783/2015-0.

---

[1] Th. Kaluza, Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin. (Math. Phys.), 966972 (1921); O. Klein, Z. Phys. A 37 (12). 895906 (1926).

[2] D. Bailin, A. Love, Rep. Prog. Phys. 50, 1087 (1987).

[3] S. Carroll, H. Tam, Phys. Rev. D78, 044047 (2008), arXiv: 0802.0521.

[4] T. Rizzo, JHEP 0509, 035 (2005), hep-ph/0506056.

[5] N. Maru, T. Yamashita, Nucl. Phys. B754, 127 (2006), hep-ph/0603237.

[6] Y. Hosotani, N. Maru, K. Takenaga and T. Yamashita, Prog. Theor. Phys. 118, 1053 (2007), arXiv:0709.2844 [hep-ph].

[7] S. Bauman, K. R. Dienes, Phys. Rev. D77, 125005 (2008), arXiv: 0712.3532; Phys. Rev. D77, 125006 (2008), arXiv: 0801.4110; Phys. Rev. D85, 125011 (2012), arXiv: 1112.5631.

[8] R. Obousy, G. Cleaver, Mod. Phys. Lett. A24, 1495 (2009), arXiv: 0805.0019.

[9] R. V. Maluf, T. Mariz, J. R. Nascimento, A. Yu. Petrov, arXiv: 1604.06647.

[10] M. Gomes, J. R. Nascimento, A. Yu. Petrov, A. J. da Silva, Phys. Rev. D81, 045018 (2010), arXiv: 0911.3548.

[11] L. H. Ford, Phys. Rev. D21, 933 (1980).

[12] A. P. Baeta Scarpelli, T. Mariz, J. R. Nascimeno, A. Yu. Petrov, Eur. Phys. J. C73, 2526 (2013), arXiv: 1304.2256.

[13] V. A. Kostelecky, Phys. Rev. D69, 105009 (2004), hep-th/0312310.